

A Survey of FRBRization Techniques

Joffrey Decourselle, Fabien Duchateau, and Nicolas Lumineau 16/09/2015 – TPDL 2015, Poznań, Poland



Too many Online Public Access Catalogs don't meet user needs !



Borgman, C. L. (1996). Why are online catalogs still hard to use?. JASIS, 47(7), 493-503.

Yee, M. M. (2005). FRBRization: A method for turning online public finding lists into online public catalogs. *Information technology and libraries*, 24(3).



Today's reality...

Many catalogs based on flat models (e.g., MARC)
Solutions with fixed schema
Very basic search engine
Bad graphical interfaces



Semantic Web can help improving navigation and enrichment in cultural heritage catalogs



Functional Requirements for Bibliographic Records (FRBR)



Buchanan, G.: FRBR: enriching and integrating digital libraries. 6th ACM/IEEE-CS Joint Conference on Digital Libraries. 2006

LIRI

Tolkien,

J. R. R.

the rings

English

1027

823.912

The FRBR conceptual model and main extensions



International Federation of Library Associations, & Institutions. Section on Cataloguing. Standing Committee. (1998). *Functional requirements for bibliographic records: final report* (Vol. 19). IFLA Study Group on the Functional Requirements for Bibliographic Records (Ed.). KG Saur Verlag Gmbh & Company.

Patton, G. E. (2007). An Introduction to Functional Requirements for Authority Data (FRAD). Understanding FRBR: what it is and how it will affect our retrieval tools, 21-27.





Dickey, T.J.: FRBRization of a Library Catalog: Better Collocation of Records, Leading to Enhanced Search, Retrieval, and Display. Information Technology & Libraries (2008)

Zhang, Y., Salaba, A.: Implementing FRBR in libraries: key issues and future directions. Neal-Schuman Publishers (2009)

Aalberg, T., Zumer, M.: The value of MARC data, or, challenges of frbrisation. Journal of Documentation (2013)



Limits & Needs

Limits of previous surveys

Mainly focused on specific goal in mind

Listing of FRBRization solutions at too larger scope

Our contributions

Criteria of FRBRization process

Classification of existing solutions



Outline





Elements of the classification



We have excluded projects which have not detailed any process for automating the transformation of a catalog.

LIRIS

1st Criterion : Type of FRBRization

Two major strategies in the literature:

Grouping records¹

Generation of descriptive keys for each single record, clustering of records to deduce higher semantic levels according to FRBR

Rule-based extraction²

Application of mapping rules on each record to generate entities according to FRBR

1 : Hickey, T.B., O'Neill, E.T., Toves, J.: Experiments with the IFLA functional requirements for bibliographic records (FRBR). D-Lib magazine (2002)

2 : Aalberg, T.: A Process and Tool for the Conversion of MARC Records to a Normalized FRBR Implementation. LNCS: Digital Libraries: Achievements, Challenges and Opportunities (2006)



Type of FRBRization: Grouping Records



Type of FRBRization: Rule-based Extraction



2nd Criterion: Model Expressiveness

The model expressiveness represents the level of FRBR implementation within models designed to receive FRBRized data

Limited Model 1st group of FRBR Standard Model 3 groups of FRBR Enhanced Model

Standard Model

+ Significant modification



3rd Criterion: Specific Enhancements

All additional steps, improvements of algorithms or interoperability enhancements done on the FRBRization process

Examples:

Added Entries Exploitation
 Using of 700 fields from MARC21

 Clustered Deduplication
 Clusters of records for distributed computing



Classification of FRBRization techniques





Observations

Both quality and performance enhancements

Only few propositions for evaluating the process

Many rules hardcoded (mainly for MARC21)

No proposition for automatic tuning



Outline

Introduction A novel classification Criteria for FRBRization techniques Classification of existing solutions Observations **Improving FRBRization process Future works**



Reality of existing catalogs



Evaluating the process

The expert must be able to answer these questions:

Is there any loss of data during the process ?

What is the total time (tuning, process & validation) for processing a record ?



Need for new evaluation metrics



21

Need for (semi-)automated tuning



Need for assisted validation



Outline





Future work

Based on our survey:

- Building a rule-based application for FRBRization including all specific enhancements, mainly:
- Semi-automated tuning
- Semantic enrichment with external sources (e.g., LOD)
- Benchmark of this application with existing solutions according to new metrics and datasets



Thank you !

To get more details about our projects:



http://liris.cnrs.fr/diricks/

LIRIS progil



Syrtis

http://www.progilone.fr/en/syrtis

