Implicit neural representations

Julie Digne

Master ID3D LIRIS - CNRS Équipe Origami

28/11/2024

Outline

¹ [Implicit surface reconstruction - a short history](#page-1-0)

- ² [Neural single shape reconstruction](#page-27-0)
- **3** [Geometric prior Eikonal equation](#page-48-0)
- [Rendering Implicit surfaces](#page-54-0)
- ⁵ [INR for Shape Analysis](#page-58-0)
- **[Novel View Synthesis](#page-88-0)**

Implicit surface reconstruction - Principle

- See the surface as an isolevel of a given function
- Extract the surface by some contouring algorithm: Marching cubes [Lorensen Cline 87], Particle Systems [Levet et al. 06]

Implicit functions are not necessarily distance fields

Surface reconstruction from unorganized points [Hoppe et al. 92]

- Input: a set of 3D points
- I Idea: for points on the surface the signed distance transform has a gradient equal to the normal

$$
F(p) = \pm \min_{q \in S} \|p - q\|
$$

- \bullet 0 is a regular value for F and thus the isolevel extraction will give a manifold
- Compute an associated tangent plane (o_i, n_i) for each point p_i of the point set
- Orientation of the tangent planes as explained before.

Surface reconstruction from unorganized points [Hoppe et al. 92]

- Once the points are oriented
- \bullet For each point p, find the closest centroid o_i
- Estimated signed distance function: $\hat{f}(p) = n_i \cdot (p o_i)$

Poisson Surface Reconstruction [Kazhdan et al. 2006]

- Input: a set of oriented samples
- Reconstructs the indicator function of the surface and then extracts the boundary.
- **•** Trick: Normals sample the function's gradients

Poisson Surface Reconstruction [Kazhdan et al. 2006]

- **1** Transform samples into a vector field
- **2** Fit a scalar-field to the gradients
- **3** Extract the isosurface

Poisson Surface Reconstruction [Kazhdan et al. 2006]

• To fit a scalar field χ to gradients \vec{V} , solve:

 $\min_{\chi} \|\nabla \chi - \vec{V}\|$

Eq to:

From the signed distance function to the mesh

- At each point in \mathbb{R}^3 , the signed distance function to the surface can be estimated
- Extract the 0 levelset of this function: points where this function is 0

Approximation

Evaluate the function at the vertices of a grid and deduce the local geometry of the surface in each grid cube.

From Marching Squares to Marching Cubes

Drawing lines between intersection points is ambiguous and does not give a surface patch.

Look-up tables

- There are $2^8 = 256$ possible cases for cube corner values.
- \bullet By symmetry + rotation arguments it reduces to 15 cases.
- Build a look-up table giving the grid cell triangulation based on the corner values case.

Ambiguous cases

 (b)

[Implicit surface reconstruction - a short history](#page-1-0) 14/96

Ambiguous cases

- Refine the grid to remove ambiguation
- Switch to marching tetrahedra algorithm

Outline

¹ [Implicit surface reconstruction - a short history](#page-1-0)

- ² [Neural single shape reconstruction](#page-27-0)
- **3** [Geometric prior Eikonal equation](#page-48-0)
- [Rendering Implicit surfaces](#page-54-0)
- **[INR for Shape Analysis](#page-58-0)**
- **[Novel View Synthesis](#page-88-0)**

Learning a signed distance [DeepSDF - Park et al. 2019]

Learning

Input data: a set of points y_i in \mathbb{R}^3 and their distance to the surface $s_i = SDF(v_i)$

Loss function

$$
\mathcal{L}(\theta) = \sum_i |clamp(u_{\theta}(y_i), \delta) - clamp(s_i, \delta)|
$$

with $clamp(h, \delta) = min(\delta, max(-\delta, h)).$

 \bullet δ controls the width of the region of interest around the surface. In practice $\delta = 0.1$.

Learning

Input data: a set of points y_i in \mathbb{R}^3 and their distance to the surface $s_i = SDF(v_i)$

Loss function

$$
\mathcal{L}(\theta) = \sum_i |clamp(u_{\theta}(y_i), \delta) - clamp(s_i, \delta)|
$$

with $clamp(h, \delta) = min(\delta, max(-\delta, h)).$

 \bullet δ controls the width of the region of interest around the surface. In practice $\delta = 0.1$.

Architecture

8 layers MLP, (width 512), dropout, ReLU activation function (tanh for the last $layer$) + weight normalization.

Results

Learning an occupancy function [Mescheder 2019]

Occupancy function

Given an object as a compact subset $\Omega\subset\mathbb{R}^3$, the occupancy function $u:\mathbb{R}^3\to 0,1$ is such that:

$$
u_{\theta}(x) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } x \in \Omega \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}
$$

• Neural network will learn a function $u_{\theta}(x)$ predicting whether u is inside Ω or outside Ω

Input data: a set of points y_i in \mathbb{R}^3 and their positions relatively to the surface $o_i = 0$ or 1.

Loss function

$$
\mathcal{L}(\theta) = \sum_i \textit{BCE}(u_{\theta}(y_i), o_i)
$$

This is the single shape loss. Occupancy networks are mostly used in the context of latent shape spaces, see next course for more details!

Results

Learning an unsigned distance

- Normal direction is easy to compute
- Consistent normal orientation is hard to compute
- Bad normal orientations create artifacts for the SDF estimation

Sign agnostic distance function (Aatzmon 2020]

- Unoriented points (not even using normal direction)
- Signed distance function or surface indicator function

Losses

Loss function

$$
loss(\theta) = \mathsf{E}_{x \in \mathcal{D}_X}[\tau(|u_{\theta}(x)|, h_X(x))]
$$

- \bullet \mathcal{D}_X is a distribution of points
- \bullet τ is a similarity function.
- \bullet h_X is an unsigned distance to the shape.
Losses

Loss function

$$
loss(\theta) = \mathsf{E}_{x \in \mathcal{D}_X}[\tau(|u_{\theta}(x)|, h_X(x))]
$$

- \bullet \mathcal{D}_X is a distribution of points
- \bullet τ is a similarity function.
- \bullet h_x is an unsigned distance to the shape.

Conditions on τ

- $\tau: \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^+ \to \mathbb{R}$ is such that:
	- Sign agnostic: $\tau(-a, b) = \tau(a, b) \forall (a, b) \in \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^+$
	- Monotonicity: $\frac{\partial \tau}{\partial a} = \rho(a-b) \forall (a,b) \in \mathbb{R}^+ \times \mathbb{R}$

Useful for the theorems guaranteeing reconstruction properties.

Choice of h_X and similarity τ

 ℓ^2 distance:

$$
h_2(y) = \min_{x \in X} ||y - x||_2
$$

 ℓ^0 distance:

$$
h_0(y) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } y \in X \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}
$$

 $\tau(\mathsf{a},\mathsf{b})=||\mathsf{a}|- \mathsf{b}|^t$

Choice of h_X and similarity τ

 ℓ^2 distance: Signed distance function

$$
h_2(y) = \min_{x \in X} ||y - x||_2
$$

 ℓ^0 distance: indicator of the surface

$$
h_0(y) = \begin{cases} 1 \text{ if } y \in X \\ 0 \text{ otherwise.} \end{cases}
$$

 $\tau(\mathsf{a},\mathsf{b})=||\mathsf{a}|- \mathsf{b}|^t$

Choice of point distribution \mathcal{D}_X

• Data points $X = x$, not enough to learn the whole field For the ℓ^2 distance:

$$
\mathcal{D}_X = \sum_i \mathcal{N}(x_i, \sigma^2 I)
$$

$$
\mathcal{L}_2(\theta) = \mathsf{E}_{y \sim \mathcal{D}_X}[|u_{\theta}(y)| - h_2(y)]
$$

For the ℓ^0 distance:

$$
\mathcal{D}_X = \sum_i \mathcal{N}(x_i, \sigma^2 I) + \sum_i \delta_{x_i}
$$

$$
\mathcal{L}_0(\theta) = \mathsf{E}_{y \sim \sum_i \mathcal{N}(x_i, \sigma^2 I)}[|u_\theta(y)| - 1] + \mathsf{E}_{y \sim \sum_i \delta_{x_i}}[|u_\theta(y)|]
$$

Neural Architecture

MLP Architecture

$$
u_{\theta}(x) = \varphi(w^T f_1 \circ f_{l-1} \circ \cdots \circ f_1(x) + b) + c
$$

with:

$$
f_i(x) = \nu(W_i x + b_i)
$$

 $b_i \in \mathbb{R}^{d_i^{out}}, \ W_i \in \mathbb{R}^{d_i^{out} \times d_i^{in}}, \ w \in \mathbb{R}^{d_i^{out}}$ and $c \in \mathbb{R}$. ν are ReLU activation functions and φ a strong nonlinearity activation function. + Skip connection to the middle layer.

Neural Architecture

MLP Architecture

$$
u_{\theta}(x) = \varphi(w^T f_1 \circ f_{1-1} \circ \cdots \circ f_1(x) + b) + c
$$

with:

$$
f_i(x) = \nu(W_i x + b_i)
$$

 $b_i \in \mathbb{R}^{d_i^{out}}, \ W_i \in \mathbb{R}^{d_i^{out} \times d_i^{in}}, \ w \in \mathbb{R}^{d_i^{out}}$ and $c \in \mathbb{R}$. ν are ReLU activation functions and φ a strong nonlinearity activation function. + Skip connection to the middle layer.

Strong activation

 $\varphi : \mathbb{R} \leftarrow \mathbb{R}$ is called a strong non-linearity if it is differentiable almost everywhere, antisymmetric: $\varphi(a)=-\varphi(-a)$ and there exists $\beta\in\mathbb{R}^+$ so that $\frac{1}{\beta}\geq\varphi'(a)\geq\beta$ for all $a \in \mathbb{R}$ where it is defined.

Neural Architecture

MLP Architecture

$$
u_{\theta}(x) = \varphi(w^T f_1 \circ f_{1-1} \circ \cdots \circ f_1(x) + b) + c
$$

with:

$$
f_i(x) = \nu(W_i x + b_i)
$$

 $b_i \in \mathbb{R}^{d_i^{out}}, \ W_i \in \mathbb{R}^{d_i^{out} \times d_i^{in}}, \ w \in \mathbb{R}^{d_i^{out}}$ and $c \in \mathbb{R}$. ν are ReLU activation functions and φ a strong nonlinearity activation function. + Skip connection to the middle layer.

Strong activation

 $\varphi : \mathbb{R} \leftarrow \mathbb{R}$ is called a strong non-linearity if it is differentiable almost everywhere, antisymmetric: $\varphi(a)=-\varphi(-a)$ and there exists $\beta\in\mathbb{R}^+$ so that $\frac{1}{\beta}\geq\varphi'(a)\geq\beta$ for all $a \in \mathbb{R}$ where it is defined.

In practice we take $\varphi(a) = a$ or $\varphi(a) = \tanh(a) + \gamma a$ with $\gamma \ge 0$.

[Neural single shape reconstruction](#page-25-0) 28/96

Initialization

Why? Avoid some local minima.

Theorem

Let u_θ be an MLP, Let $b_i=0$, and W_i iid for a normal distribution $\mathcal{N}(0, \frac{\sqrt{2}}{\sqrt{d\theta}})$ $\frac{\sqrt{2}}{d_i^{out}}$ $1\leq i\leq l$, $w=\frac{\sqrt{\pi}}{\sqrt{d_{l}^{out}}}1$, $c=-r$ then: $u_{\theta}(x)=\phi(\|x\|-r)$.

Properties

- Plane reproduction: If data points lie on a hyperplane, this plane is a critical point of the loss.
- Local plane reconstruction: Can be applied locally for surfaces.

Input point cloud, Ball Pivoting, Variational implicit reconstruction, SAL

Outline

¹ [Implicit surface reconstruction - a short history](#page-1-0)

- ² [Neural single shape reconstruction](#page-27-0)
- ³ [Geometric prior Eikonal equation](#page-48-0)
- [Rendering Implicit surfaces](#page-54-0)
- **[INR for Shape Analysis](#page-58-0)**
- **[Novel View Synthesis](#page-88-0)**

 \bullet Signed distance field u to a surface S satisfies the Eikonal equation:

$$
\|\nabla u\| = 1 \text{ with } u(x) = 0 \,\forall x \in \partial S
$$

 \bullet Signed distance field u to a surface S satisfies the Eikonal equation:

$$
\|\nabla u\|=1 \text{ with } u(x)=0 \,\,\forall x\in \partial S
$$

Since a MLP is differentiable use the Eikonal equation as a loss function [Gropp 2020]

Optimization Process

- Input data a set of points $(x_i, n_i), i \in I$
- Look for *u* continuous and a.e. C^1 such that:

$$
\begin{cases}\n\|\nabla u\| = 1 \\
u_{|\partial\Omega} = 0 \\
\nabla u_{|\partial\Omega}| = n\n\end{cases}
$$
\n(1)

• Loss [Gropp 2020]

$$
I(\theta) = \frac{1}{|I|} \sum_{i \in I} (|u_{\theta}(x_i)| + \tau \|\nabla u_{\theta}(x_i) - \mathsf{n}_i\|) + \lambda \mathbb{E}_x [(\|\nabla u_{\theta}(x)\| - 1)^2]
$$

Periodic Activation Functions [Sitzmann 2021]

- Replace ReLU by periodic activation function $x \to \sin(\omega x)$. Better differentiability
- **·** Loss:

$$
\mathcal{L}_{\text{sdf}} = \frac{1}{|I|} \sum_{i \in I} (|u_{\theta}(x_i)| + \tau || \nabla u_{\theta}(x_i) - \mathsf{n}_i||) \n+ \lambda \mathbb{E}_x [(|| \nabla u_{\theta}(x) || - 1)^2] + \lambda_2 \mathbb{E}_x \mathbb{E}_x [(|| \psi(u_{\theta}(x) ||)
$$

with
$$
\psi(u_{\theta}(x)) = \exp{-\alpha |u_{\theta}(x)|}
$$
; $\alpha >> 1$

Figure 4: A comparison of SIREN used to fit a SDF from an oriented point clouse against the same fitting performed by an MLP using a ReLU PE (proposed in [35]).

inn 2020 From [Sitzmann 2020] From [Sitzm

Periodic Activation Functions [Sitzmann 2021]

Figure 4: Shape representation. We fit signed distance functions parameterized by implicit neural representations directly on point clouds. Compared to ReLU implicit representations, our periodic activations significantly improve detail of objects (left) and complexity of entire scenes (right).

Outline

¹ [Implicit surface reconstruction - a short history](#page-1-0)

- ² [Neural single shape reconstruction](#page-27-0)
- **3** [Geometric prior Eikonal equation](#page-48-0)
- ⁴ [Rendering Implicit surfaces](#page-54-0)
- ⁵ [INR for Shape Analysis](#page-58-0)
- **[Novel View Synthesis](#page-88-0)**

Sphere tracing

- Requires to compute ray/surface intersection.
- Direct intersection with explicit representations (Meshes/Geometric primitives)

Sphere tracing [Hart 1996]

- **1** Input: a point x and direction v , a signed distance field u.
- **2** Initialize $t = 0$
- \bullet While $t < D$
	- $x_t = x + tv$

$$
\bullet \ \ d=u(x_t)
$$

- **3** If $d < \varepsilon$ Return x_t
- Else Increment $t = t + d$

After intersection

- Similar to ray tracing, rebounds can be computed
- \bullet Direct light only: color = scalar product of normal at intersection point and light direction.

nage by Hiroki Sakuma Image by Hiroki Sakuma

Outline

¹ [Implicit surface reconstruction - a short history](#page-1-0)

- ² [Neural single shape reconstruction](#page-27-0)
- **3** [Geometric prior Eikonal equation](#page-48-0)
- [Rendering Implicit surfaces](#page-54-0)
- ⁵ [INR for Shape Analysis](#page-58-0)
	- **[Novel View Synthesis](#page-88-0)**

Regularizing INR away from the surface

[Clémot, Digne 2023]

[INR for Shape Analysis](#page-56-0) 42/96

Medial Axis

Definition

A point p belongs to the medial axis of a compact shape if it has at least two distinct nearest neighbors on the shape surface.

Overview

Eikonal Equation

- **o** Infinite number of solutions
- Viscosity solution theory: allows to select the right solution
- Use smooth eikonal equation (not practical [Lipman 2019])

$$
\|\nabla u\|-\varepsilon\Delta u=1
$$

• Consequence: blobs appear

Infinite nber of solutions

Not an issue close to the surface – but far away?

Which neural network?

- MLP (6 layers, 128-256 neurons/layer) with ReLU activation functions
- ReLU yields a function in $W^{1,p}$ [Lipman 2019]
- But: not always easy to train
- Sitzman (2021) replaces ReLU with sine activation function: smooth function

TV regularization - some theory

- Look for a smooth surrogate for the signed distance function
- Medial axis: zeros of the gradient
- The TV term favors that u has no second order differential content along the gradient lines

Since $\nabla u = (u_x, u_y, u_z)$, it follows:

$$
\nabla \|\nabla u\| = \nabla \sqrt{u_x^2 + u_y^2 + u_z^2}
$$

=
$$
\frac{1}{2\|\nabla u\|} \begin{pmatrix} 2u_x u_{xx} + 2u_y u_{xy} + 2u_z u_{xz} \\ 2u_x u_{xy} + 2u_y u_{yy} + 2u_z u_{yz} \\ 2u_x u_{zx} + 2u_y u_{zy} + 2u_z u_{zz} \end{pmatrix}
$$

=
$$
H_u \frac{\nabla u}{\|\nabla u\|}
$$

Total loss

• Eikonal loss:

$$
\mathcal{L}_{eikonal} = \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \left(1 - \left\|\nabla u(\rho)\right\|\right)^2 d\rho \tag{2}
$$

• Surface loss:

$$
\mathcal{L}_{\text{surface}} = \int_{\partial \Omega} u(p)^2 dp + \int_{\partial \Omega} 1 - \frac{n(p) \cdot \nabla u(p)}{\|\mathsf{n}(p)\| \|\nabla u(p)\|} dp \tag{3}
$$

• Learning point loss

$$
\mathcal{L}_{\text{learning}} = \sum_{p \in \mathcal{P}} (u(p) - d(p))^2 + \sum_{p \in \mathcal{P}} 1 - \frac{\nabla u(p) \cdot \nabla d(p)}{\|\nabla u(p)\| \|\nabla d(p)\|}
$$
(4)

 \bullet + TV loss

Loss

$$
\mathcal{L} = \lambda_e \mathcal{L}_{eikonal} + \lambda_s \mathcal{L}_{surface} + \lambda_l \mathcal{L}_{learning} + \lambda_{TV} \mathcal{L}_{TV}
$$
(5)

[INR for Shape Analysis](#page-56-0) 48/96

Convergence

Resulting Fields

 $\|\nabla u\|$

[INR for Shape Analysis](#page-56-0) 51/96

 ∇ || ∇ u||

then...

- GPU skeleton tracing to extract points on the skeleton
- Select a subset based on the Coverage Axis method [Dou 2022]
	- \triangleright N points x_i , M skeletal points s_i with distance r_i to the surface.
	- ▶ Coverage matrix: $D (N \times M)$

$$
D_{ij} = 1 \text{ if } ||p_i - s_j|| - r_j \leq \delta \text{ and } 0 \text{ otherwise}
$$

▶ Mixed Integer Linear Problem:

$$
\begin{array}{ll}\n\min & ||v||_2 \\
\text{s.t.} & Dv \geq 1\n\end{array} \tag{6}
$$

Link the selected points by computing the regular triangulation of weighted skeletal points and surface points $+$ keep simplices between skeletal points

With noise

With noise

Learning Occupancy functions [Chen 2019, Mescheder 2020]

- Use an encoder (e.g. PointNet [Qi 2017]) to get the shape latent description α.
- Train a neural network to compute the occupancy network of a shape given (x, y, z, α) .

Data and Losses

- A set of N shapes S_i with points y_{ik} for which the occupancy is known.
- **•** Training loss:

$$
\frac{1}{|\mathcal{B}|} \sum_{i=1}^N \sum_{k=1}^K \mathcal{L}(u_\theta(y_{ik}, \alpha_i), o_{ik})
$$

$$
\bullet \ \mathcal{L}(u_{\theta}(y_{ik}, \alpha_i), o_{ik}) = |u_{\theta}(y_{ik}, \alpha_i) - o_{ik}|^2
$$

- Chen et al. [2019] adds a sampling density weight
- Mescheder et al. [2020] adds a KL divergence between a latent description prior and the encoder distribution.

Results and Comparisons

Results - single view reconstruction

[INR for Shape Analysis](#page-56-0) 62/96

• Represent an entire class of shapes in an implicit way

Training

Single shape version

$$
\mathcal{L}(f_{\theta}(x), s) = |clamp(f_{\theta}, \delta) - clamp(x, \delta)|
$$

with $clamp(x, \delta) = min(\delta, max(-\delta, x))$, s isovalue.

[INR for Shape Analysis](#page-56-0) 64/96

Training

Latent shape version

$$
f_{\theta}(z_i,x)=SDF^i(x)
$$

Model several distance fields with a single network (factor in shape space)

Auto-decoder

- \bullet Usually: train an auto-encoder $+$ throw away the encoder.
- Here: avoid spending computational resources on encoder.
- Handle shapes of different number of samples.

Model for the auto-decoder

Data: N shapes $X_i = \{(x_j, s_j), s_j = SDF^i(x_j)\}.$

Latent code z_i , prior $p(z_i)$ = centered Gaussian with spherical covariance.

$$
p_{\theta}(z_i|X_i) = p(z_i) \prod_j p_{\theta}(s_j|z_i,x_j)
$$

• Reformulation:

$$
p(s_j|z_i, x_j) = \exp(-\mathcal{L}(f_\theta(z_i, x_j), s_j))
$$
 with f_θ an MLP.

Training

$$
\mathsf{argmin}_{\theta, \{z_i\}_{i=1}^N} \sum_{i=1}^N \sum_{j=1}^K \mathcal{L}(f_\theta(z_i, x_j), s_j) + \frac{1}{\sigma^2} \|z_i\|_2^2
$$

Network architecture

[park 2019]

results

• solve for the shape code from partial shapes and reconstruct

results

Outline

¹ [Implicit surface reconstruction - a short history](#page-1-0)

- ² [Neural single shape reconstruction](#page-27-0)
- **3** [Geometric prior Eikonal equation](#page-48-0)
- [Rendering Implicit surfaces](#page-54-0)
- ⁵ [INR for Shape Analysis](#page-58-0)
- ⁶ [Novel View Synthesis](#page-88-0)

Neural Radiance Field (Nerf [Mildenhall et al. 2020])

- Goal: Generate a new view from a set of views
- Cameras are calibrated (ie we know their positions, orientations and intrinsic parameters)

Principle

Neural network takes as input a 3D coordinate and viewing direction and outputs the volume density and view-dependent emitted radiance at this location and direction.

$$
F_{\Theta}(x, y, z, \theta, \phi) = (R, G, B, \sigma)
$$

Architecture MLP with ReLU activations.

Rendering from the volume

Color of a ray Ray $r(t) = o + td$ $C(r) = \int_0^{t}$ t_n $T(t)\sigma(r(t))C(r(t), d)dt$ with: $T(t) = \exp - \int_0^t$ t_n σ (r(s))ds

 t_n , t_f : near and far bounds

Rendering from the volume

Color of a ray Ray $r(t) = o + td$ $C(r) = \int_0^{t}$ t_n $T(t)\sigma(r(t))C(r(t), d)dt$ with: $T(t) = \exp - \int_0^t$ t_n σ (r(s))ds

- t_n , t_f : near and far bounds
- \bullet T: attenuation of the ray so far (Beer's law)

Integral approximation

• Stratified sampling along the ray of positions t_i

Discrete Version $C(r) = \sum$ i $T_i(1 - \exp(-\sigma(t_i) || t_{i+1} - t_i ||)) C(r_i)$ with $\tau_i = \sum$ i $\exp(-\sigma(t_i)\|t_{i+1}-t_i\|)$

Training

[Mildenhall et al. 2020] [Mildenhall et al. 2020]

Positional Encoding

Ground Truth

Complete Model

No View Dependence No Positional Encoding

Add a non-learnable layer to embed the position in a higher dimensional space:

 $(\cos x, \cos 2x, \cdots, \cos Nx, \cos y, \cos 2y, \cdots, \cos Ny, \cos z, \cos 2z, \cdots, \cos Nz)$

• Intuition: Frequency decomposition, allows to get high frequency information

View-dependency

Ground Truth

Complete Model

No View Dependence No Positional Encoding

View-dependent radiance is what allows to capture mirror reflections

Video: <https://www.matthewtancik.com/nerf>

Video: <https://www.matthewtancik.com/nerf>

Training time

The optimization for a single scene typically take around 100– 300k iterations to converge on a single NVIDIA V100 GPU (about 1–2 days).

Video: <https://www.matthewtancik.com/nerf>

Training time

The optimization for a single scene typically take around 100– 300k iterations to converge on a single NVIDIA V100 GPU (about 1–2 days). (Faster variants released since: Instant NGP [Mueller 2022])

[Novel View Synthesis](#page-86-0) 79/96

After Nerf... Plenoxels [Yu et al. 2021]

- No neural net
- (way) faster than nerf

Method

Spherical harmonics

$$
Y_l^m(\theta,\varphi) = e^{im\varphi} P_l^m(\cos(\theta))
$$

 P_l^m Associated Legendre polynomial

$$
P_l^m(x) = (-1)^m (1 - x^2)^{m/2} \sum_{k=m}^l \frac{k!}{(k-m)!} x^{k-m} {l \choose k} { (l+k-1)/2 \choose l}
$$

\nNowel View Synthesis

Orthogonal function basis

Color and spherical harmonics

- Spherical harmonics of degree $2 \rightarrow 9$ coefficients per color channel
- Color $C(r)$ = sum of the spherical harmonics evaluated in the ray direction
- Estimation on the vertices of a sparse grid and linear interpolation per grid cell.

Losses

Optimization on SH coefficients and density minimizing the Loss:

$$
\mathcal{L}_{recon} + \lambda \mathcal{L}_{TV}
$$

• Reconstruction Loss:

$$
\mathcal{L}_{recon} = \sum_{r \in \mathcal{R}} ||C(r) - \hat{C}(r)||_2^2
$$

• TV Loss:

$$
\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{TV}} = \frac{1}{|\mathcal{V}|} \sum_{v \in \mathcal{V}, d \in \mathcal{D}} \sum_{i} \|\nabla_{x} S H_{i}\|_{2} + \|\nabla_{x} \sigma\|_{2}
$$

(V and R stochastic samplings of the grid vertices and rays)

Ground Truth

 $NeRF++[57]$

Plenoxels

[Yu et al. 2021]

• Insight: What makes nerf work is not the neural net but Differentiable rendering.

Gaussian Splatting

- Build on point set Splatting [Zwicker 2001]
- Each point is the center of a small 3D Gaussian on it,
- Each 3D Gaussian is represented by a quaternion and 3 scaling factors.
- Gaussian splat = gaussian parameters + opacity + Spherical harmonics

Overview

Structure from Motion (SfM)

Cameras calibrated by Structure from Motion [Snavely 2006]
Rendering a Gaussian splat scene

• Projective space Gaussian giving the color.

$$
G(x) = \exp{-x^T \Sigma^{-1} x} \rightarrow G'(x) = \exp{-x^T \Sigma'^{-1} x}
$$

Viewing direction $W \; \Sigma' = J W \Sigma W^T$

 \bullet J jacobian of the affine approx of the projective transformation:

$$
J = \begin{pmatrix} f_x/z & 0 & -f_x t_x/z^2 \\ 0 & f_y/z & -f_y t_y/z^2 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}
$$

Rasterizer

- **•** Split screen in tiles
- Cull 3d Gaussians against view frustrum
- \bullet Each tile = depth sorted Gaussians
- When saturation level is reached: stop

Creating or Destroying Geometry

Kerble 2023

Number of iterations

[Kerble 2023]

Conclusion

- Geometric data synthesis is hard
- Overview of Single shape implicit representation techniques
- Signed distance field or occupancy function or ??
- Nerf/Gaussian Splat: do we need to compute the geometry or only render?
- Multi-resolution, levels of details for neural implicits.

Temporary page!

LATEX was unable to guess the total number of pages correctly. As the unprocessed data that should have been added to the final page this ϵ has been added to receive it.

If you rerun the document (without altering it) this surplus page will because LATEX now knows how many pages to expect for this document