

A fun interpreter of the \mathcal{RL} -language

Romuald THION

June 17, 2014

Abstract

This document is an implementation of the language defined in [AFP⁺11] written in the literate programming style [Knu84]. Thus, it can be seen as both:

- a mathematical definition of a formal language, with denotational semantics,
- a proof of concept interpreter.

We use the Haskell programming language: a pure, non-strict, lazy, funtional language [PJHA⁺99]. The document is meant to compile without warning with full strictures turned on.

Contents

1	Syntax	2
1.1	Basic ingredients	2
1.2	Atomic & \mathcal{RL} formulas	2
1.3	Extraction of variables	3
2	Semantics	3
2.1	Satisfaction of atomic formulas	3
2.2	Satisfaction of \mathcal{RL} formulas	4
2.3	Restricted query language	5
3	Toy sample	7
3.1	Tuples	7
3.2	Formulas	7
3.3	Structures	8
3.4	Satisfaction	9
3.5	Queries	10
3.6	Queries with support	10

1 Syntax

1.1 Basic ingredients

We give basic ingredients of \mathcal{RL} -language. The set of attributes \mathcal{U} is assumed to be enumerable. A schema R is a subset of \mathcal{U} . The set of schemas is implemented as a type $Sch\ a$ over an enumerable domain ($Enum\ a$ constraints).

```
type CST =  $\mathbb{N}$ 
  -- the set of all constants
data Sch a where
  Sch :: (Enum a)  $\Rightarrow$  a  $\rightarrow$  a  $\rightarrow$  Sch a
  -- a schema is a finite subset of attributes
  -- parameters are the minimum and maximum bound
  :: Sch a  $\rightarrow$  [a]
  (Sch a b) = enumFromTo a b
data Tuple a where
  Tuple :: Sch a  $\rightarrow$  (a  $\rightarrow$  CST)  $\rightarrow$  Tuple a
  -- a tuple is a total function from a given schema into constants
mkTuple :: (Eq a)  $\Rightarrow$  Sch a  $\rightarrow$  [CST]  $\rightarrow$  Tuple a
mkTuple s = let f = ( $\lambda x \rightarrow$  maybe  $\perp$  id  $\circ$  x)  $\circ$  (flip lookup)  $\circ$  zip ( s ) in (Tuple s)  $\circ$  f
  -- build a tuple from a given list of constants
· [·] :: Tuple a  $\rightarrow$  a  $\rightarrow$  CST
(Tuple _ f)[x] = f x
  -- projection function
type TVar = String
  -- tuple-variables
type AVar = String
  -- attribute-variables
type SVar = String
  -- schema-variables
```

1.2 Atomic & \mathcal{RL} formulas

```
type Proj = (TVar, AVar)
data  $\mathcal{A}_a$  = (=) AVar AVar
  | (=) Proj Proj
  | (=) Proj CST
  | (=) AVar a
  | ( $\in$ ) AVar SVar
deriving (Eq, Show)
```

Formulas of the \mathcal{RL} -language, syntatic expressions will be desugared in the definition of the semantics:

```
data  $\mathcal{RL}_a$  = ( $\mathcal{A}_a$ )
  | ( $\wedge$ ) ( $\mathcal{RL}_a$ ) ( $\mathcal{RL}_a$ )
  | ( $\vee$ ) ( $\mathcal{RL}_a$ ) ( $\mathcal{RL}_a$ )
  | ( $\Rightarrow$ ) ( $\mathcal{RL}_a$ ) ( $\mathcal{RL}_a$ )
```

$\neg (\mathcal{RL}_a)$
 $\forall AVar. (\mathcal{RL}_a)$
 $\exists AVar. (\mathcal{RL}_a)$
 $\forall TVar. (\mathcal{RL}_a)$
 $\exists TVar. (\mathcal{RL}_a)$
 $\forall AVar \in SVar. (\mathcal{RL}_a)$
 $\exists AVar \in SVar. (\mathcal{RL}_a)$
 $|TVar| \geq \mathbb{N}. (\mathcal{RL}_a)$
deriving (*Eq, Show*)

1.3 Extraction of variables

type *VarSet* = (*Set TVar, Set AVar, Set SVar*)
 $(\uplus) :: \text{VarSet} \rightarrow \text{VarSet} \rightarrow \text{VarSet}$
 $(a, b, c) \uplus (x, y, z) = (a \cup x, b \cup y, c \cup z)$
 $FV^A(\cdot) :: \mathcal{A}_a \rightarrow \text{VarSet}$
 $FV^A(((u, a) = (v, b))) = (\text{fromList } [u, v], \text{fromList } [a, b], \emptyset)$
 $FV^A(((u, a) = _)) = (\{u\}, \{a\}, \emptyset)$
 $FV^A((a = b)) = (\emptyset, \text{fromList } [a, b], \emptyset)$
 $FV^A((a = _)) = (\emptyset, \{a\}, \emptyset)$
 $FV^A((a \in x)) = (\emptyset, \{a\}, \{x\})$
 $FV(\cdot) :: \mathcal{RL}_a \rightarrow \text{VarSet}$
 $FV((a)) = FV^A(a)$
 $FV((x \wedge y)) = FV(x) \uplus FV(y)$
 $FV((x \vee y)) = FV(x) \uplus FV(y)$
 $FV((x \Rightarrow y)) = FV(x) \uplus FV(y)$
 $FV((\neg x)) = FV(x)$
 $FV((\forall a. x)) = \mathbf{let } (t', a', s') = FV(x) \mathbf{in } (t', a' \setminus \{a\}, s')$
 $FV((\exists a. x)) = \mathbf{let } (t', a', s') = FV(x) \mathbf{in } (t', a' \setminus \{a\}, s')$
 $FV((\forall t. x)) = \mathbf{let } (t', a', s') = FV(x) \mathbf{in } (t' \setminus \{t\}, a', s')$
 $FV((\exists t. x)) = \mathbf{let } (t', a', s') = FV(x) \mathbf{in } (t' \setminus \{t\}, a', s')$
 $FV((\forall a \in s. x)) = \mathbf{let } (t', a', s') = FV(x) \mathbf{in } (t', a' \setminus \{a\}, s' \cup \{s\})$
 $FV((\exists a \in s. x)) = \mathbf{let } (t', a', s') = FV(x) \mathbf{in } (t', a' \setminus \{a\}, s' \cup \{s\})$
 $FV((|t| \geq _ . x)) = \mathbf{let } (t', a', s') = FV(x) \mathbf{in } (t' \setminus \{t\}, a', s')$

2 Semantics

type *RLStruct a* = (*Sch a, [Tuple a], (SVar \rightarrow [a])*)
type *RLInter a* = (*RLStruct a, ((TVar \rightarrow Tuple a), (AVar \rightarrow a))*)

2.1 Satisfaction of atomic formulas

We split the definition of satisfaction into:

- satisfaction for atomic formulas $\llbracket \cdot \rrbracket^A$

- satisfaction for recursive formulas $\llbracket \cdot \rrbracket$.

$$\begin{aligned} \llbracket \cdot \rrbracket^A &:: (Eq\ a) \Rightarrow (RLInter\ a) \rightarrow (\mathcal{A}_a) \rightarrow \mathbb{B} \\ \llbracket ((u, a) = (v, b)) \rrbracket_{((-, -, -), (\sigma^1, \sigma^2))}^A &= (\sigma^1\ u)[(\sigma^2\ a)] \Leftrightarrow (\sigma^1\ v)[(\sigma^2\ b)] \\ \llbracket ((u, a) = c) \rrbracket_{((-, -, -), (\sigma^1, \sigma^2))}^A &= (\sigma^1\ u)[(\sigma^2\ a)] \Leftrightarrow c \\ \llbracket (a = b) \rrbracket_{((-, -, -), (-, \sigma^2))}^A &= (\sigma^2\ a) \Leftrightarrow (\sigma^2\ b) \\ \llbracket (a = c) \rrbracket_{((-, -, -), (-, \sigma^2))}^A &= (\sigma^2\ a) \Leftrightarrow c \\ \llbracket (a \in x) \rrbracket_{((-, -, \Sigma), (-, \sigma^2))}^A &= (\sigma^2\ a) \in (\Sigma\ x) \end{aligned}$$

- Note the absence of the schema in the original definition: it is added here.
- Note that σ very looks like *an interpretation* of variables, splitted into two parts.
- Non-traditional part is the *knot* with the $X(A)$ construct. BTW, I do think that $A \in X$ will be better.

2.2 Satisfaction of \mathcal{RL} formulas

$$\begin{aligned} \llbracket \cdot \rrbracket &:: (Eq\ a) \Rightarrow (RLInter\ a) \rightarrow (\mathcal{RL}_a) \rightarrow \mathbb{B} \\ \llbracket (a) \rrbracket_{st} &= \llbracket a \rrbracket_{st}^A \\ \llbracket (x \wedge y) \rrbracket_{st} &= (\llbracket x \rrbracket_{st}) \wedge (\llbracket y \rrbracket_{st}) \\ \llbracket (x \vee y) \rrbracket_{st} &= (\llbracket x \rrbracket_{st}) \vee (\llbracket y \rrbracket_{st}) \\ \llbracket (x \Rightarrow y) \rrbracket_{st} &= (\llbracket \varphi \rrbracket_{st}) \textbf{ where} \\ &\quad \varphi = (\neg x) \vee y \\ \llbracket (\neg x) \rrbracket_{st} &= \neg (\llbracket x \rrbracket_{st}) \\ &\quad \text{-- end of classical logic} \\ &\quad \text{-- note that it is not proven that material implication and De Morgan laws are true !} \\ \llbracket (\forall a. x) \rrbracket_{((R, r, \Sigma), (\sigma^1, \sigma^2))} &= foldr (\wedge) \textbf{ tt bs where} \\ &\quad \text{-- schema (set) is needed here !!} \\ &\quad fs = allAtts R \sigma^2 a \\ &\quad \text{-- fs is the list of all functions that update } \sigma^2 \\ &\quad ss = upAtts fs ((R, r, \Sigma), (\sigma^1, \perp)) \\ &\quad \text{-- we build the structures} \\ &\quad bs = satAll ss x \\ &\quad \text{-- we compute the evaluation of x according to each structure in the list} \\ \llbracket (\exists a. x) \rrbracket_{((R, r, \Sigma), (\sigma^1, \sigma^2))} &= foldr (\vee) \textbf{ ff bs where} \\ &\quad fs = allAtts R \sigma^2 a \\ &\quad ss = upAtts fs ((R, r, \Sigma), (\sigma^1, \perp)) \\ &\quad bs = satAll ss x \\ \llbracket (\forall t. x) \rrbracket_{((R, r, \Sigma), (\sigma^1, \sigma^2))} &= foldr (\wedge) \textbf{ tt bs where} \\ &\quad \text{-- similar to previous case, with update of } \sigma^1 \\ &\quad fs = allTups r \sigma^1 t \\ &\quad ss = upTups fs ((R, r, \Sigma), (\perp, \sigma^2)) \\ &\quad bs = satAll ss x \\ \llbracket (\exists t. x) \rrbracket_{((R, r, \Sigma), (\sigma^1, \sigma^2))} &= foldr (\vee) \textbf{ ff bs where} \\ &\quad fs = allTups r \sigma^1 t \\ &\quad ss = upTups fs ((R, r, \Sigma), (\perp, \sigma^2)) \end{aligned}$$

```

                                bs = satAll ss x
[[ $\forall a \in s. x$ ]]st      = ([[ $\varphi$ ]]st) where
                                 $\varphi = \forall a. ((a \in s) \Rightarrow x)$ 
[[ $\exists a \in s. x$ ]]st      = ([[ $\varphi$ ]]st) where
                                 $\varphi = \exists a. ((a \in s) \wedge x)$ 
[[ $(|t| \geq n. x)$ ]]((R,r,\Sigma),(\sigma^1,\sigma^2)) = foldcount n bs where
                                fs = allTups r  $\sigma^1$  t
                                ss = upTups fs ((R,r,\Sigma),( $\perp$ , $\sigma^2$ ))
                                bs = satAll ss x

-- small "same function almost everywhere" utility
up :: (Eq a) => (a -> b) -> (a, b) -> (a -> b)
up f (v, t) a = if (a  $\Leftrightarrow$  v) then t else (f a)
-- injection of boolean values into integers
mb ::  $\mathbb{B} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ 
mb tt = 1
mb ff = 0
-- from a given valuation of tuples tup, db rel of size n and tvar t, computes
-- the list of n different valuation of tuples where t is updated by a tuple from rel
allTups :: [Tuple a] -> (TVar -> Tuple a) -> TVar -> [TVar -> Tuple a]
allTups r  $\sigma^1$  t = fmap (up  $\sigma^1 \circ \lambda y \rightarrow (t, y)$ ) r
-- replace a valuation of tuples in a structure by a new one, extended to list
upTups :: [TVar -> Tuple a] -> RLInter a -> [RLInter a]
upTups tups ((R,r,\Sigma),( $\perp$ , $\sigma^2$ )) = fmap ( $\lambda y \rightarrow ((R,r,\Sigma), (y, \sigma^2))$ ) tups
-- the same for attributes
allAtts :: Sch a -> (AVar -> a) -> AVar -> [AVar -> a]
allAtts  $\Sigma$   $\sigma^2$  a = fmap (up  $\sigma^2 \circ \lambda y \rightarrow (a, y)$ ) ( $\Sigma$ )
upAtts :: [AVar -> a] -> RLInter a -> [RLInter a]
upAtts atts ((R,r,\Sigma),( $\sigma^1$ ,  $\perp$ )) = fmap ( $\lambda y \rightarrow ((R,r,\Sigma), (\sigma^1, y))$ ) atts
-- eval satisfaction on a list of structure
satAll :: (Eq a) => [RLInter a] -> ( $\mathcal{RL}_a$ ) -> [ $\mathbb{B}$ ]
satAll ss x = fmap ((flip [[ $\cdot$ ]].) x) ss
-- count if at least n values are true
foldcount :: (Ord a, Num a) => a -> [ $\mathbb{B}$ ] ->  $\mathbb{B}$ 
foldcount 0 _      = tt
foldcount _ []     = ff
foldcount n (a : as) = if a
                                then foldcount (n - 1) as
                                else foldcount n as

```

2.3 Restricted query language

We restrict the language to the class of formulas φ such that :

- all attribute-variables and tuple-variables are bounded in φ , so satisfaction $[[\varphi]]_{((R,r,\Sigma),(\sigma^1,\sigma^2))}$ is independant of both σ^1 and σ^2 . Under Haskell's non-strict semantics, it means that parameters σ^1 and σ^2 won't be evaluated by $[[\cdot]]$, so both can be freely set to \perp ;

- φ contains exactly two different schema-variables, so Σ generally in $S \rightarrow 2^R$ is now in $2 \rightarrow 2^R \cong (2^R)^2 \cong 2^{2 \times R} \cong 2^{R+R} \cong 2^R \times 2^R$ with 2^R encoded with lists.

$isRLQ :: (\mathcal{R}\mathcal{L}_a) \rightarrow \mathbb{B}$

$isRLQ \varphi = \mathbf{let} (x, y, z) = FV(\varphi) \mathbf{in} (size\ x \Leftrightarrow 0) \wedge (size\ y \Leftrightarrow 0) \wedge (size\ z \Leftrightarrow 2)$

$satRLQ :: (Eq\ a) \Rightarrow Sch\ a \rightarrow [Tuple\ a] \rightarrow ([a], [a]) \rightarrow (\mathcal{R}\mathcal{L}_a) \rightarrow \mathbb{B}$

$satRLQ\ s\ d\ (x, y)\ \varphi \mid (isRLQ\ \varphi) = \mathbf{let} (_ , _ , v) = (FV(\varphi))$
 $(a : b : []) = toList\ v$
 $f = (flip\ up)\ (a, x)\ (up\ \perp\ (b, y))$

$\mathbf{in} \llbracket \varphi \rrbracket_{((s,d,f),(\perp,\perp))}$

$\mid otherwise = error\ "Formula\ does\ not\ satisfy\ isRLQ\ requirement"$

Now we evaluate queries.

$evalQ :: (Eq\ a) \Rightarrow Sch\ a \rightarrow [Tuple\ a] \rightarrow \mathcal{R}\mathcal{L}_a \rightarrow [([a], [a])]$

$evalQ\ s\ d\ \varphi = filter\ (\lambda x \rightarrow satRLQ\ s\ d\ x\ \varphi)\ (space\ s)$

$space :: Sch\ a \rightarrow [([a], [a])]$

$space\ s = \mathbf{let} x = (tail \circ subsequences \circ) s$

$\mathbf{in} (concat \circ fmap\ (\lambda y \rightarrow zip\ (repeat\ y)\ x))\ x$

If one can prove that the relation computed by $evalQ$ enjoys some nice properties, as for instance closure on Armstrong inference rules provided in [AFP⁺11], one can use a smarter way to traverse the lattice of pairs of subset of a set R .

Here we naively compute the *complete space* of valuation of schema-variables of size $(2^R - 1)^2$ (we eliminate the \emptyset using $tail$) then we evaluate φ instead of pruning the space using rules.

3 Toy sample

3.1 Tuples

Databases:

```

db, db', db0 :: [Tuple Char]
db = [t1, t2, t3, t4]
db' = [t1, t2]
db0 = fmap (mkTuple r) [[1, 3, 4], [1, 3, 4], [2, 4, 6], [3, 5, 6]]
dba :: [Tuple Char]
dba = fmap (mkTuple r') [[1, 1, 0, 0], [0, 0, 1, 0], [0, 0, 0, 1], [1, 1, 1, 0], [0, 1, 0, 0]]
db2 :: [Tuple Char]
db2 = fmap (mkTuple r') [[0, 1, 1, 1], [0, 1, 2, 1], [0, 1, 3, 2], [1, 2, 4, 2], [1, 1, 5, 3]]

```

<i>r</i>	<i>A</i>	<i>B</i>	<i>C</i>
<i>t</i> ₁	1	2	1
<i>t</i> ₂	1	2	3
<i>t</i> ₃	2	2	3
<i>t</i> ₄	3	4	5

Table 1: The sample database *db*

<i>r</i>	<i>A</i>	<i>B</i>	<i>C</i>	<i>D</i>
<i>t</i> ₁	0	1	1	1
<i>t</i> ₂	0	1	2	1
<i>t</i> ₃	0	1	3	2
<i>t</i> ₄	1	2	4	2
<i>t</i> ₄	1	1	5	3

Table 2: The sample database *db*₂

3.2 Formulas

```

(≠) :: TVar → TVar →  $\mathcal{RL}_a$ 
s ≠ t = ∃ "A". (¬ (((s, "A") = (t, "A"))))

```

Formula f_1 characterises functional dependencies between set of attributes *X* and *Y*. f'_1 is its closure.

```

f1, f1' ::  $\mathcal{RL}_{Char}$ 
f1 = let fl = ∀ "A" ∈ "X". (((t1, "A") = (t2, "A")))
      fr = ∀ "B" ∈ "Y". (((t1, "B") = (t2, "B")))
      in fl ⇒ fr
f1' = (∀ "t1". (∀ "t2". f1))

```

$$\begin{aligned}
dfCount &:: \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathcal{RL}_{Char} \\
dfCount\ n &= \mathbf{let}\ df1 = \forall "A" \in "X". (((\text{"t1"}, "A") = (\text{"t2"}, "A"))) \\
&\quad df2 = \forall "B" \in "Y". (((\text{"t1"}, "B") = (\text{"t2"}, "B"))) \\
&\quad cnt = \exists \text{"t1"}. (|\text{"t2"}| \geq n. df1) \\
&\quad \mathbf{in}\ (\forall \text{"t1"}. (\forall \text{"t2"}. (df1 \Rightarrow df2))) \wedge cnt
\end{aligned}$$

Formula g_1 characterises constantness on the A attributes, which is fixed by Σ .

$$\begin{aligned}
g_1, g'_1 &:: \mathcal{RL}_{Char} \\
g_1 &= \forall "A" \in "X". (((\text{"s"}, "A") = (\text{"t"}, "A"))) \\
g'_1 &= (\forall "s". (\forall "t". g_1)) \\
ar, ar' &:: \mathcal{RL}_{Char} \\
ar &= \mathbf{let}\ a = \forall "A" \in "X". (((\text{"t"}, "A") = 1)) \\
&\quad b = \forall "B" \in "Y". (((\text{"t"}, "B") = 1)) \\
&\quad \mathbf{in}\ a \Rightarrow b \\
ar' &= (\forall "t". ar) \\
nar, nar' &:: \mathcal{RL}_{Char} \\
nar &= \mathbf{let}\ a = \forall "A" \in "X". (((\text{"t"}, "A") = 1)) \\
&\quad b = \forall "B" \in "Y". (((\text{"t"}, "B") = 0)) \\
&\quad \mathbf{in}\ a \Rightarrow b \\
nar' &= (\forall "t". nar) \\
countA1 &:: \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathcal{RL}_{Char} \\
countA1\ n &= \mathbf{let}\ a = \forall "A" \in "X". (((\text{"t"}, "A") = 1)) \\
&\quad \mathbf{in}\ (|\text{"t"}| \geq n. a) \\
h, h' &:: \mathcal{RL}_{Char} \\
h &= \mathbf{let}\ a = \forall "A" \in "X". (((\text{"t1"}, "A") = (\text{"t2"}, "A")) \wedge (\neg \circ \cdot) ((\text{"t3"}, "A") = (\text{"t4"}, "A"))) \\
&\quad b = \forall "B" \in "Y". (((\text{"t1"}, "B") = (\text{"t2"}, "B")) \wedge (\neg \circ \cdot) ((\text{"t3"}, "B") = (\text{"t4"}, "B"))) \\
&\quad \mathbf{in}\ a \Rightarrow b \\
h' &= (\forall \text{"t1"}. (\forall \text{"t2"}. (\forall \text{"t3"}. (\forall \text{"t4"}. h)))) \\
dfmin, dfmin' &:: \mathcal{RL}_{Char} \\
dfmin &= \mathbf{let}\ fl = \forall "A" \in "X". (((\text{"t1"}, "A") = (\text{"t2"}, "A"))) \\
&\quad fr = \forall "B" \in "Y". (((\text{"t1"}, "B") = (\text{"t2"}, "B"))) \\
&\quad fd = \forall "A" \in "X". (\forall "B" \in "Y". (\neg ((\text{"A"} = \text{"B"})))) \\
&\quad fu = \forall "B1" \in "Y". (\forall "B2" \in "Y". ((\text{"B1"} = \text{"B2"}))) \\
&\quad \mathbf{in}\ (fl \Rightarrow fr) \wedge fd \wedge fu \\
dfmin' &= (\forall \text{"t1"}. (\forall \text{"t2"}. dfmin))
\end{aligned}$$

So far we have :

- $FV(f_1) = (fromList [\text{"t1"}, \text{"t2"}], fromList [], fromList ["X", "Y"])$
- $FV(f'_1) = (fromList [], fromList [], fromList ["X", "Y"])$
- $FV(g_1) = (fromList ["s", "t"], fromList [], fromList ["X"])$
- $FV(g'_1) = (fromList [], fromList [], fromList ["X"])$

3.3 Structures

$$\begin{aligned}
\Sigma_1, \Sigma_2 &:: SVar \rightarrow [Char] \\
\Sigma_1\ "X" &= "A" \\
\Sigma_1\ "Y" &= "C" \\
\Sigma_1\ _ &= \perp
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\Sigma_2 \text{ "X" } &= \text{"AC"} \\
\Sigma_2 \text{ "Y" } &= \text{"B"} \\
\Sigma_2 \text{ - } &= \perp \\
\sigma_1^1, \sigma_2^1 &:: TVar \rightarrow Tuple Char \\
\sigma_1^1 \text{ "t" } &= t_1 \\
\sigma_1^1 \text{ "s" } &= t_2 \\
\sigma_1^1 \text{ - } &= \perp \\
\sigma_2^1 \text{ "t" } &= t_0 \\
\sigma_2^1 \text{ "s" } &= t_1 \\
\sigma_2^1 \text{ - } &= \perp
\end{aligned}$$

3.4 Satisfaction

We evaluate:

- g_1 on $((r, db, \Sigma_1), (\sigma_1^1, \perp))$: **tt**
- g_1 on $((r, db, \Sigma_1), (\sigma_1^1, \perp))$: **ff**
- g_1 on $((r, db, \Sigma_1), (\sigma_2^1, \perp))$: **ff**
- g_1 on $((r, db, \Sigma_2), (\sigma_2^1, \perp))$: **ff**
- g_1 on $((r, db', \Sigma_1), (\sigma_1^1, \perp))$: **tt**
- g_1 on $((r, db', \Sigma_1), (\sigma_1^1, \perp))$: **ff**
- g_1 on $((r, db', \Sigma_1), (\sigma_2^1, \perp))$: **ff**
- g_1 on $((r, db', \Sigma_2), (\sigma_2^1, \perp))$: **ff**
- g_1' on $((r, db, \Sigma_1), (\sigma_1^1, \perp))$: **ff**
- g_1' on $((r, db, \Sigma_1), (\sigma_1^1, \perp))$: **ff**
- g_1' on $((r, db, \Sigma_1), (\sigma_2^1, \perp))$: **ff**
- g_1' on $((r, db, \Sigma_2), (\sigma_2^1, \perp))$: **ff**
- g_1' on $((r, db', \Sigma_1), (\sigma_1^1, \perp))$: **tt**
- g_1' on $((r, db', \Sigma_1), (\sigma_1^1, \perp))$: **ff**
- g_1' on $((r, db', \Sigma_1), (\sigma_2^1, \perp))$: **tt**
- g_1' on $((r, db', \Sigma_2), (\sigma_2^1, \perp))$: **ff**
- f_1 on $((r, db, \Sigma_1), (\sigma_1^1, \perp))$: *****Exception : Prelude.⊥**
- f_1 on $((r, db, \Sigma_1), (\sigma_1^1, \perp))$: *****Exception : Prelude.⊥**
- f_1 on $((r, db, \Sigma_1), (\sigma_2^1, \perp))$: *****Exception : Prelude.⊥**
- f_1 on $((r, db, \Sigma_2), (\sigma_2^1, \perp))$: *****Exception : Prelude.⊥**
- f_1 on $((r, db', \Sigma_1), (\sigma_1^1, \perp))$: *****Exception : Prelude.⊥**
- f_1 on $((r, db', \Sigma_1), (\sigma_1^1, \perp))$: *****Exception : Prelude.⊥**
- f_1 on $((r, db', \Sigma_1), (\sigma_2^1, \perp))$: *****Exception : Prelude.⊥**
- f_1 on $((r, db', \Sigma_2), (\sigma_2^1, \perp))$: *****Exception : Prelude.⊥**
- f_1' on $((r, db, \Sigma_1), (\sigma_1^1, \perp))$: **ff**
- f_1' on $((r, db, \Sigma_1), (\sigma_1^1, \perp))$: **tt**
- f_1' on $((r, db, \Sigma_1), (\sigma_2^1, \perp))$: **ff**
- f_1' on $((r, db, \Sigma_2), (\sigma_2^1, \perp))$: **tt**
- f_1' on $((r, db', \Sigma_1), (\sigma_1^1, \perp))$: **ff**
- f_1' on $((r, db', \Sigma_1), (\sigma_1^1, \perp))$: **tt**
- f_1' on $((r, db', \Sigma_1), (\sigma_2^1, \perp))$: **ff**
- f_1' on $((r, db', \Sigma_2), (\sigma_2^1, \perp))$: **tt**

3.5 Queries

We specialize on \mathcal{RL} -queries, we evaluate $evalQ\ r\ db\ f'_1$, its length is 27:

$\{A \rightarrow A, A \rightarrow B, A \rightarrow AB, B \rightarrow B, AB \rightarrow A, AB \rightarrow B, AB \rightarrow AB, C \rightarrow B, C \rightarrow C, C \rightarrow BC, AC \rightarrow A, AC \rightarrow B, AC \rightarrow AB, AC \rightarrow C, AC \rightarrow AC, AC \rightarrow BC, AC \rightarrow ABC, BC \rightarrow B, BC \rightarrow C, BC \rightarrow BC, ABC \rightarrow A, ABC \rightarrow B, ABC \rightarrow AB, ABC \rightarrow C, ABC \rightarrow AC, ABC \rightarrow BC, ABC \rightarrow ABC\}$

Now evaluate $evalQ\ r\ db\ h'$, whose length is 31:

$\{A \rightarrow A, A \rightarrow B, A \rightarrow AB, B \rightarrow A, B \rightarrow B, B \rightarrow AB, AB \rightarrow A, AB \rightarrow B, AB \rightarrow AB, C \rightarrow C, AC \rightarrow A, AC \rightarrow B, AC \rightarrow AB, AC \rightarrow C, AC \rightarrow AC, AC \rightarrow BC, AC \rightarrow ABC, BC \rightarrow A, BC \rightarrow B, BC \rightarrow AB, BC \rightarrow C, BC \rightarrow AC, BC \rightarrow BC, BC \rightarrow ABC, ABC \rightarrow A, ABC \rightarrow B, ABC \rightarrow AB, ABC \rightarrow C, ABC \rightarrow AC, ABC \rightarrow BC, ABC \rightarrow ABC\}$

3.6 Queries with support

We are interested into formulas $dfCount\ n$. First we check whether $evalQ\ r\ db\ f'_1 \Leftrightarrow evalQ\ r\ db\ (dfCount\ 0)$

tt. Then we evaluate $dfCount\ n$ for increasing values of n :

1. $evalQ\ r\ db\ (dfCount\ 1)$ (27 results) : $\{A \rightarrow A, A \rightarrow B, A \rightarrow AB, B \rightarrow B, AB \rightarrow A, AB \rightarrow B, AB \rightarrow AB, C \rightarrow B, C \rightarrow C, C \rightarrow BC, AC \rightarrow A, AC \rightarrow B, AC \rightarrow AB, AC \rightarrow C, AC \rightarrow AC, AC \rightarrow BC, AC \rightarrow ABC, BC \rightarrow B, BC \rightarrow C, BC \rightarrow BC, ABC \rightarrow A, ABC \rightarrow B, ABC \rightarrow AB, ABC \rightarrow C, ABC \rightarrow AC, ABC \rightarrow BC, ABC \rightarrow ABC\}$
2. $evalQ\ r\ db\ (dfCount\ 2)$ (13 results) : $\{A \rightarrow A, A \rightarrow B, A \rightarrow AB, B \rightarrow B, AB \rightarrow A, AB \rightarrow B, AB \rightarrow AB, C \rightarrow B, C \rightarrow C, C \rightarrow BC, BC \rightarrow B, BC \rightarrow C, BC \rightarrow BC\}$
3. $evalQ\ r\ db\ (dfCount\ 3)$ (1 results) : $\{B \rightarrow B\}$
4. $evalQ\ r\ db\ (dfCount\ 4)$ (0 results) : $\{\}$

Now, something ugly with $df3$ and $df3c$, the functional dependencies with 3 different tuples :

$df3, df3' :: \mathcal{RL}_{Char}$

$df3 = \mathbf{let}\ a = \forall "A" \in "X". (((\text{"t1"}, "A") = (\text{"t2"}, "A")) \wedge ((\text{"t2"}, "A") = (\text{"t3"}, "A"))) \wedge d$
 $d = (\text{"t1"} \neq \text{"t2"}) \wedge (\text{"t2"} \neq \text{"t3"}) \wedge (\text{"t1"} \neq \text{"t3"})$
 $b = \forall "B" \in "Y". (((\text{"t1"}, "B") = (\text{"t2"}, "B")) \wedge ((\text{"t2"}, "B") = (\text{"t3"}, "B")))$

$\mathbf{in}\ a \Rightarrow b$

$df3' = (\forall \text{"t1"}. (\forall \text{"t2"}. (\forall \text{"t3"}. (df3))))$

$df3c :: \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathcal{RL}_{Char}$

$df3c\ n = \mathbf{let}\ a = \forall "A" \in "X". (((\text{"t1"}, "A") = (\text{"t2"}, "A")) \wedge ((\text{"t2"}, "A") = (\text{"t3"}, "A"))) \wedge d$
 $d = (\text{"t1"} \neq \text{"t2"}) \wedge (\text{"t2"} \neq \text{"t3"}) \wedge (\text{"t1"} \neq \text{"t3"})$
 $b = \forall "B" \in "Y". (((\text{"t1"}, "B") = (\text{"t2"}, "B")) \wedge ((\text{"t2"}, "B") = (\text{"t3"}, "B")))$
 $c = \exists \text{"t1"}. (\exists \text{"t2"}. (|\text{"t3"}| \geq n. a))$
 $\mathbf{in}\ (\forall \text{"t1"}. (\forall \text{"t2"}. (\forall \text{"t3"}. (a \Rightarrow b)))) \wedge c$

- $evalQ\ r'\ db_2\ df3'$ has 187 results,
- $evalQ\ r'\ db_2\ f'_1$ has 147 results,
- the difference is $\{A \rightarrow AB, A \rightarrow B, ABD \rightarrow ABC, ABD \rightarrow ABCD, ABD \rightarrow AC, ABD \rightarrow ACD, ABD \rightarrow BC, ABD \rightarrow BCD, ABD \rightarrow C, ABD \rightarrow CD, AD \rightarrow ABC, AD \rightarrow ABCD, AD \rightarrow$

$AC, AD \rightarrow ACD, AD \rightarrow BC, AD \rightarrow BCD, AD \rightarrow C, AD \rightarrow CD, BD \rightarrow ABC, BD \rightarrow ABCD, BD \rightarrow AC, BD \rightarrow ACD, BD \rightarrow BC, BD \rightarrow BCD, BD \rightarrow C, BD \rightarrow CD, D \rightarrow A, D \rightarrow AB, D \rightarrow ABC, D \rightarrow ABCD, D \rightarrow ABD, D \rightarrow AC, D \rightarrow ACD, D \rightarrow AD, D \rightarrow B, D \rightarrow BC, D \rightarrow BCD, D \rightarrow BD, D \rightarrow C, D \rightarrow CD\}$

1. $evalQ\ r'\ db_2\ (df3c\ 1)$ has 7 results : $\{A \rightarrow A, A \rightarrow B, A \rightarrow AB, B \rightarrow B, AB \rightarrow A, AB \rightarrow B, AB \rightarrow AB\}$
2. $evalQ\ r'\ db_2\ (df3c\ 2)$ has 1 results : $\{B \rightarrow B\}$

References

- [AFP⁺11] Marie Agier, Christine Froidevaux, Jean-Marc Petit, Yoan Renaud, and Jef Wijsen. On armstrong-compliant logical query languages. In *4th International Workshop on Logic in Databases (LID 2011)*, March 2011. collocated with the 2011 EDBT/ICDT conference.
- [Knu84] Donald E. Knuth. Literate programming. *Comput. J.*, 27(2):97–111, 1984.
- [PJHA⁺99] Simon L Peyton Jones, John Hughes, Lennart Augustsson, Dave Barton, Brian Boutel, Warren Burton, Joseph Fasel, Kevin Hammond, Ralf Hinze, Paul Hudak, Thomas Johnsson, Mark Jones, John Launchbury, Erik Meijer, John Peterson, Alastair Reid, Colin Runciman, and Philip Wadler. The haskell 98 report, 1999. Available from <http://www.haskell.org/onlinereport/>.