

What if...

Unorientable equations?

$$(C) \quad x + y = y + x$$

Here both sides renamings of the other : WF lost

$$\text{Relation } \rightsquigarrow R \cup S$$

with R rules, S unorientable

Rewriting modulo

Relation $\rightsquigarrow R \cup S$ with R rules, S unorientable

Apply R in classes modulo $=_S$

BL77

Definition

$$s \xrightarrow[R/S]{} t$$

$$\exists s', \exists t', s =_S s' \wedge t =_S t' \wedge s' \xrightarrow[R]{} t'$$

Unpractical (finiteness of classes + complexity) No S -step “above”

R -step, S -extended

PS81

Definition

$$s \xrightarrow[S/R]{} t$$

$$\exists l \rightarrow r \in R, \exists \sigma, \exists p, s|_p =_S l\sigma \wedge t = s[r\sigma]_p$$

Rewriting modulo – properties

A a set, $\rightarrow_R, \rightarrow_S$ over A , \rightarrow_{R^S} relation between $\rightarrow_R \rightarrow_{R/S}$ (eg. $\rightarrow_{S/R}$)

Definition

- $\rightarrow_R \rightarrow_{R^S}$ -Church-Rosser modulo \rightarrow_S iff

$$\forall x, y, x \left(\xleftrightarrow[R]{} \cup \xleftrightarrow[S]{} \right)^* y \Rightarrow \left(\exists z, z', x \xrightarrow[R^S]{} z \xleftarrow[S]{} z' \xleftarrow[R^S]{} y \right)$$

Rewriting modulo – properties

A a set, $\rightarrow_R, \rightarrow_S$ over A , \rightarrow_{R^S} relation between $\rightarrow_R \rightarrow_{R/S}$ (eg. $\rightarrow_{S/R}$)

Definition

- \rightarrow_{R^S} confluent modulo \rightarrow_S iff

$$\forall x, y, z, y \xleftarrow[R^S]{} x \xrightarrow[R^S]{} z \Rightarrow \left(\exists v, v', y \xrightarrow[R^S]{} v \xleftarrow[S]{} v' \xleftarrow[R^S]{} z \right)$$

- \rightarrow_{R^S} locally confluent with \rightarrow_R modulo \rightarrow_S iff

$$\forall x, y, z, y \xleftarrow[R^S]{} x \xrightarrow[R]{} z \Rightarrow \left(\exists v, v', y \xrightarrow[R^S]{} v \xleftarrow[S]{} v' \xleftarrow[R^S]{} z \right)$$

Rewriting modulo – properties

A a set, $\rightarrow_R, \rightarrow_S$ over A, \rightarrow_{R^S} relation between $\rightarrow_R \rightarrow_{R/S}$ (eg. $\rightarrow_{S/R}$)

Definition

- \rightarrow_{R^S} **coherent modulo** \rightarrow_S iff

$$\forall x, y, z, y \xleftarrow{R^S}^* x \xrightarrow{S}^* z \Rightarrow (\exists v, v', y \xrightarrow{R^S}^* v \xleftarrow{S}^* v' \xleftarrow{R^S}^* z)$$

- \rightarrow_{R^S} **locally coherent modulo** \rightarrow_S iff

$$\forall x, y, z, y \xleftarrow{R^S} x \xrightarrow{S} z \Rightarrow (\exists v, v', y \xrightarrow{R^S}^* v \xleftarrow{S}^* v' \xleftarrow{R^S}^* z)$$

Rewriting modulo – properties

Linking all that...

Theorem.

A a set, $\rightarrow_R, \rightarrow_S$ over A, \rightarrow_{R^S} relation between $\rightarrow_R \rightarrow_{R/S}$. If $WF(\leftarrow_{R/S})$ then :

- $\rightarrow_R \rightarrow_{R^S}$ -Church-Rosser modulo \rightarrow_S
- \rightarrow_{R^S} confluent + coherent modulo \rightarrow_S
- \rightarrow_{R^S} locally coherent + locally confluent with \rightarrow_R modulo \rightarrow_S
- for all $x, y \in A$, $x \longleftrightarrow_{R \cup S}^* y$ iff for all $x \downarrow, y \downarrow \rightarrow_{R^S}$ normal forms $x \downarrow \longleftrightarrow_S^* y \downarrow$

AC signature

Focus on AC

$f \in \mathcal{F}_{AC}$

$$(C) \quad f(x, y) = f(y, x)$$

$$(AC) \quad f(f(x, y), z) = f(x, f(y, z))$$

$s \xrightarrow{S/R} t$

$$\exists l \rightarrow r \in R, \exists \sigma, \exists p, s|_p =_S l \sigma \wedge t = s[r\sigma]_p$$

$s \xrightarrow{AC/R} t$

$$\exists l \rightarrow r \in R, \exists \sigma, \exists p, s|_p =_{AC} l \sigma \wedge t = s[r\sigma]_p$$

OK for local coherence with C

$$R: \{a + b \rightarrow c\} \quad c + c \xleftarrow{R/AC} (a + c) + b \xrightarrow{AC/R} ?$$

PB for local coherence with AC

(at 1)

OK if R extended by $(a + x) + b \rightarrow c + x$

Variadic terms, flattening

An easy $=_{AC}$ class witness?

Definition

Set $\mathcal{T}_{var}(\mathcal{F}, X)$ of **variadic term** :

- $x \in X$ then $x \in \mathcal{T}_{var}(\mathcal{F}, X)$
- $f \in \mathcal{F} \setminus \mathcal{F}_{AC}$, $\tau(f) = n$, $t_1, \dots, t_n \in \mathcal{T}_{var}(\mathcal{F}, X)$ then $f(t_1, \dots, t_n) \in \mathcal{T}_{var}(\mathcal{F}, X)$
- $f \in \mathcal{F}_{AC}$, $t_1, \dots, t_n \in \mathcal{T}_{var}(\mathcal{F}, X)$ ($n \geq 2$) then $f(t_1, \dots, t_n) \in \mathcal{T}_{var}(\mathcal{F}, X)$

Variadic term, flattening

Definition

Flat form \bar{t} of t :

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{x} &= x && \text{if } x \in X \\ \overline{f(t_1, \dots, t_n)} &= f(\bar{t}_1, \dots, \bar{t}_n) && \text{if } f \notin \mathcal{F}_{AC} \\ \overline{f(t_1, t_2)} &= \begin{cases} f(\bar{t}_1, \bar{t}_2) & f \in \mathcal{F}_{AC}, t_1(\Lambda) \neq f, t_2(\Lambda) \neq f \\ f(\bar{t}_1, v_1, \dots, v_n) & t_1(\Lambda) \neq f, \bar{t}_2 = f(v_1, \dots, v_n) \\ f(u_1, \dots, u_m, \bar{t}_2) & \bar{t}_1 = f(u_1, \dots, u_m), t_2(\Lambda) \neq f \\ f(u_1, \dots, u_m, v_1, \dots, v_n) & \bar{t}_1 = f(u_1, \dots, u_m), \bar{t}_2 = f(v_1, \dots, v_n) \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

Now $=_{AC}$ only permutation congruence !

AC-termination

Theorem.

R/AC terminates iff $\overline{AC/R}$ terminates

Orderings : [compatibility](#)

$$\begin{array}{ccc} s & > & t \\ \parallel_{AC} & & \parallel_{AC} \\ s' & > & t' \end{array} \quad \begin{array}{ccc} s & \geq & t \\ \parallel_{AC} & & \parallel_{AC} \\ s' & \geq & t' \end{array}$$

Rewriting on AC-flat

Definition

$$s \xrightarrow{AC/Rext} t$$

- $s|_p =_{AC} \bar{l}\sigma, \quad t = \overline{s[r\sigma]}_p,$
- $s|_p =_{AC} \overline{f(l_1, \dots, l_n, x)\sigma}, \quad t = \overline{s[f(r, x)\sigma]}_p \quad l = f(l_1, \dots, l_n)$

Rk. — Extension needed for $l \rightarrow r$ only when

- Obviously $l(\Lambda) \in \mathcal{F}_{AC}$
- No variable x in l such that :
 - ONE occ. of x , and only at 1.
 - either $r = x$ or $r = f(x, r')$ with no x in r'

Example

No need : $x + 0 \rightarrow x$

Need ext. : $x + (-x) \rightarrow 0$, or $x + S(y) \rightarrow s(x + y)$

AC-termination

Polynomials

$$P(X, Y) = P(Y, X)$$

$$P(P(X, Y), Z) = P(X, P(Y, Z))$$

Over commutative ring : $aXY + b(X + Y) + c$ with $b^2 = b + ac$

In practice : simple/linear polynomials, possibly simple-mixed

$$\begin{cases} x + 0 & \rightarrow x \\ x + s(y) & \rightarrow s(x + y) \end{cases} \quad \mathcal{F}_{AC} = \{+\} \quad \begin{array}{l} \llbracket + \rrbracket(x, y) = yx + 2(y + x) + 2 \\ \llbracket s \rrbracket(x) = x + 1 \\ \llbracket 0 \rrbracket = 0 \end{array}$$

AC-termination

Path orderings

$$f(x, y) >_{RPO} g(x, y) \quad f >_{\text{prec}} g$$

alas $f(x, y, z) <_{RPO} f(g(x, y), z)$

Not monotonic! \leadsto normalising systems, new orderings...

APO [Bachmair & Plaisted]

$$\text{Associative Path Cond.} : \forall f \in \mathcal{F}_{AC} \begin{cases} f \text{ minimal in } \mathcal{F} \text{ or} \\ \exists g \in \mathcal{F}_{AC} \text{ s.t. } f \text{ minimal} \in \mathcal{F} \setminus \{g\} \end{cases}$$

Normalising system D **convergent** :

$$\forall f >_{\text{prec}} g \in \mathcal{F}_{AC} \quad f(g(x, y), z) \rightarrow g(f(x, z), f(y, z))$$

$$\text{APO} : s >_{APO} t \iff \overline{s \downarrow_D} >_{RPO} \overline{t \downarrow_D} \quad (\text{monotonic, simplifying})$$

Other systems \leadsto EAPO, MAPO... [Delor & Puel]

Without normalising system? \leadsto ACRPO [Rubio]

AC-termination – DP

Definition

For $f(t_1, \dots, t_n) \rightarrow r$,

AC-dependency pairs : “classical” DP + DP from $f(t_1, \dots, t_n, x) \rightarrow \overline{f(r, x)}$
with fresh x (when necessary)

$$\begin{array}{ll} x+0 & \rightarrow x & x \times 0 & \rightarrow 0 \\ x+s(y) & \rightarrow s(x+y) & x \times s(y) & \rightarrow (x \times y) + x \\ \langle x+s(y), x+y \rangle & & \langle x+s(y)+z, x+y \rangle & \\ \langle x \times s(y), x \times y \rangle & & \langle x+s(y)+z, s(x+y)+z \rangle & \\ \langle x \times s(y), (x \times y) + x \rangle & & \langle x \times 0 \times z, 0 \times z \rangle & \\ & & \langle x \times s(y) \times z, x \times y \rangle & \\ & & \langle x \times s(y) \times z, ((x \times y) + x) \rangle & \\ & & \langle x \times s(y) \times z, ((x \times y) + x) \times z \rangle & \end{array}$$

Terminaison AC : DP

With flat terms : **minimal**? $u = f(u_1, \dots, u_n) \trianglelefteq t$ immortal

- u immortal
- u_i all mortal
- f defined
- if $f \in \mathcal{F}_{AC}$, $u = f(u', u'')$ with $f(u')$ immortal and $f(u' \setminus \{u_i\})$ mortal

\leadsto ensuring necessary arguments

AC-termination – DP

Lemma.

t immortal for R (modulo AC) then $\exists p, p', C, C', \langle s_1, s_2 \rangle, \sigma$ s.t.

$$t \xrightarrow{R}^{>p^*} C[s_1\sigma]_p \xrightarrow{R}^p C[C'[s_2\sigma]_{p'}]_p \text{ with } s_2\sigma \text{ immortal.}$$

Proof.

t immortal, $t = C[f(u)]_p$ with $f(u)$ immortal and u mortal

- $f \in \mathcal{F}_F$ as usual (exo)
- $f \in \mathcal{F}_{AC}$ then $f(u) = f(u', u'')$ with $f(u')$ minimal (hence step at Λ)

$$t = C[f(u', u'')]_p \xrightarrow{R}^{>p^*} C[f(v, u'')]_p \xrightarrow{l \rightarrow r}^p C[t']_p \text{ with } t' \text{ immortal}$$

AC-termination – DP

$$t = C[f(u', u'')]_p \xrightarrow[R]{>p}^* C[f(v, u'')]_p \xrightarrow[l \rightarrow r]{p} C[t']_p \text{ with } t' \text{ immortal}$$

- $f(v, u'') = \overline{l\sigma}$
- $f(v, u'') = \overline{f(l, x)\sigma}$ (with x fresh)

AC-termination – DP

$$t = C[f(u', u'')]_p \xrightarrow[R]{>p}^* C[f(v, u'')]_p \xrightarrow[l \rightarrow r]{p} C[t']_p \text{ with } t' \text{ immortal}$$

- $f(v, u'') = \overline{l\sigma}$

u'' empty! \leadsto reduction of $f(u')$...

$$f(v) = \overline{l\sigma} \xrightarrow{\Lambda} t' = C[f'(w')]_{p'} \text{ with } w' = w\sigma \text{ (minimal : } p' \text{ outside } \sigma)$$

$\langle l, f'(w) \rangle \in \text{DP}$

AC-termination – DP

$$t = C[f(u', u'')]_p \xrightarrow[R]{>p}^* C[f(v, u'')]_p \xrightarrow[l \rightarrow r]{p} C[t']_p \text{ with } t' \text{ immortal}$$

- $f(v, u'') = \overline{l\sigma}$
- $f(v, u'') = \overline{f(l, x)\sigma}$ (with x fresh)

OK

AC-termination – DP

$$t = C[f(u', u'')]_p \xrightarrow[R]{>p}^* C[f(v, u'')]_p \xrightarrow[l \rightarrow r]{p} C[t']_p \text{ with } t' \text{ immortal}$$

- $f(v, u'') = \overline{l\sigma}$
- $f(v, u'') = \overline{f(l, x)\sigma}$ (with x fresh)

OK

reduction of $f(v)$ hence u'' in $x\sigma$

$$f(v, u'') = f(v', f(v'', u'')) \text{ and } f(v) = f(v', v'')$$

$$\leadsto f(v') = \overline{l\sigma} \quad f(v'', u'') = \overline{x\sigma}$$

AC-termination – DP

$$t = C[f(u', u'')]_p \xrightarrow[R]{>p^*} C[f(v, u'')]_p \xrightarrow[l \rightarrow r]{p} C[t']_p \text{ with } t' \text{ immortal}$$

- $f(v, u'') = \overline{l\sigma}$
- $f(v, u'') = \overline{f(l, x)\sigma}$ (with x fresh)

OK

$$t = C[f(u', u'')]_p \xrightarrow[R]{>p^*} C[f(v', v'', u'')]_p \xrightarrow[l \rightarrow r]{p} C[f(r'\sigma, v'', u'')]_p \quad \begin{cases} r = r' \\ r = f(r') \end{cases}$$

$$\text{immortal } f(r'\sigma, v'') = C'[\underbrace{f'(w')}]_{p'} \quad f'(w') \text{ immortal, etc.}$$

AC-termination – DP

$$t = C[f(u', u'')]_p \xrightarrow[R]{>p^*} C[f(v, u'')]_p \xrightarrow[l \rightarrow r]{p} C[t']_p \text{ with } t' \text{ immortal}$$

- $f(v, u'') = \overline{l\sigma}$
- $f(v, u'') = \overline{f(l, x)\sigma}$ (with x fresh)

OK

$$t = C[f(u', u'')]_p \xrightarrow[R]{>p^*} C[f(v', v'', u'')]_p \xrightarrow[l \rightarrow r]{p} C[f(r'\sigma, v'', u'')]_p \quad \begin{cases} r = r' \\ r = f(r') \end{cases}$$

$$\text{immortal } f(r'\sigma, v'') = C'[\underbrace{f'(w')}]_{p'}$$

- $C' \neq []$ or $f \neq f'$
- $C' = []$ and $f = f'$

AC-termination – DP

$$t = C[f(u', u'')]_p \xrightarrow[R]{>p^*} C[f(v, u'')]_p \xrightarrow[l \rightarrow r]{p} C[t']_p \text{ with } t' \text{ immortal}$$

- $f(v, u'') = \overline{l\sigma}$
- $f(v, u'') = \overline{f(l, x)\sigma}$ (with x fresh)

OK

OK

$$t = C[f(u', u'')]_p \xrightarrow[R]{>p^*} C[f(v', v'', u'')]_p \xrightarrow[l \rightarrow r]{p} C[f(r'\sigma, v'', u'')]_p \quad \begin{cases} r = r' \\ r = f(r') \end{cases}$$

$$\text{immortal } f(r'\sigma, v'') = C'[\underbrace{f'(w')}]_{p'}$$

- $C' \neq []$ or $f \neq f'$ v'' mortal $\rightsquigarrow f'$ in $r' : \langle f(l, x), \overline{f'(w')} \rangle$
- $C' = []$ and $f = f'$ $\langle f(l, x), \overline{f(r, x)} \rangle$

AC-termination – DP

Lemma.

If t immortal for R (modulo AC) then $\exists p, p', C, C', \langle s_1, s_2 \rangle, \sigma$ such that

$$t \xrightarrow[R]{>p^*} C[s_1\sigma]_p \xrightarrow[R]{p} C[C'[s_2\sigma]_{p'}]_p \text{ with } s_2\sigma \text{ immortal.}$$

Theorem.

R terminates modulo AC iff $\rightarrow_{\text{DP}_{AC(R)}, R}$ terminates modulo AC.